Attorney Docket No.: SECO-021/01US

Serial No.: 10/828,826 Page 4 of 4

Remarks:

Objected Claims

In the above Final Office Action the Examiner objected to claims 6-7 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated such claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Canceled Claims

Claims 1-5, and 8 have been canceled.

Rejected Claims

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-5 in view of Dhong et al. (U.S. Pat. Num. 5,343,092). Claim 6 has been amended to reflect the features of claims 2, and 4-5, and claim 7 has been amended to reflect the features of claim 2. As noted above, the Examiner has indicated that claims 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim (i.e., claim 2) and any intervening claims (i.e., claims 4-5 regarding claim 6, and none regarding claim 7).

Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the remarks herein. The undersigned would of course be available to discuss the present application with the Examiner if, in the opinion of the Examiner, such a discussion could lead to resolution of any outstanding issues.

Bv:

Dated: June 27, 2006

Cooley Godward LLP ATTN: Patent Group Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155

Tel: (650) 843-5000 Fax: (650) 857-0663 Respectfully submitted, COOLEY GODWARD LLP

Kyle M. Rendergrass Reg. No. 57,403